

Scoring Reviewer Rubric – Oakland County Learning Loss and Social Emotional Learning Supports

Eligibility Rubric

These questions screen for eligibility and require a score of “[3] Satisfies All Requirements” to be scored on the full rubric.

Weight	Application Section	Scoring Category	[1] Does Not Satisfy Requirements	[2] Satisfies Some Requirements	[3] Satisfies All Requirements	Total Points Available
1	Budget	Award Type Justification	Does not meet the base eligibility requirements  <b>*Ineligible for grant with a score of “Does Not Satisfy Requirements”</b>		Meets all the base eligibility requirements and provides a detailed and clear rationale for selection	3
1	Program Information	Location & Geographic Spread	Program does not serve Oakland County Residents  <b>*Ineligible for grant with a score of “Does Not Satisfy Requirements”</b>		Program serves Oakland County Residents	3
1	Program Information	Population Served	Program serves youth beyond the target ages of the grant  <b>*Ineligible for grant with a score of “Does Not Satisfy Requirements”</b>		Program serves youth in the age range of 0-18	3
1	Demographics and Service Scope	Diversity and Demographics	Applicant has indicated that they do not track any demographic data.  <b>*Ineligible for grant with a score of “Does Not Satisfy Requirements”</b>		Applicant has indicated that they track demographic data in application.	3
Award Type Total Points Possible						12

Scoring Reviewer Rubric – Oakland County Learning Loss and Social Emotional Learning Supports

Reviewer Rubric

*This is the rubric used by review teams. Scores are totaled and used to determine grant awards.*

Weight	Application Section	Scoring Category	[1] Does Not Satisfy Requirements	[2] Satisfies Some Requirements	[3] Satisfies All Requirements	Total Points Available for Section
1	Award Type Selection	Award Justification	<p>Program is not in alignment with the criteria for this grant program.</p> <p><b>*Ineligible for grant with a score of "Does Not Satisfy Requirements"</b></p>	Program meets some of the alignment criteria but provides a sufficient rationale for consideration.	Program meets all the alignment criteria. Meets all the base eligibility requirements and provides a detailed and clear rationale for selection.	3
<b>Award Type Total Points Possible</b>						<b>3</b>
3	Program Information	Program/Service Information and Operational Details	Unconvincing or no evidence of need presented, description of the program/services unclear and/or does not fit into the award type selected or the provision of academic/SEL support services. The impact of program/services is unclear or undefined.	Program description and/or rationale is provided, and is clear, but tends toward the too-specific or too-general. The overall argument and/or program concept holds. Impact is described but is not specifically tied to academic and/or SEL development.	Strong rationale and description of proposed services. Addresses specific need(s) in Oakland County and provides a clear articulation of programs/services and plan to use the funds. The program/services impact a variety of academic or SEL skills that are appropriate to the scope of the project.	9
4	Program Information	Evidence-Based Programming (EBP)	Application has not indicated that they are employing <b>Evidence-Based Programming</b> in their proposed delivery of services	Applicant has indicated that they are employing <b>Evidence-Based Programming</b> in their proposed delivery of services	Applicant has provided a robust description of the <b>Evidence-Based Programming</b> that they plan to employ in their proposed delivery of services	12
2	Program Information	Training, Monitoring, and Modification of Evidence-Based Programming	Organization has provided a limited or inadequate description of how staff are trained, how modifications to the model are made, or how they monitor the fidelity of evidence-based programming	Organization has provided adequate description(s) of how the fidelity of their model is monitored and staff are trained to implement evidence-based programming but could be more descriptive as to how those activities are carried out and/or certified.	Organization has provided a detailed description of their training protocols and the reviewer has a clear understanding of how training is carried out and/or certified. Organization also explains how they monitor and make modifications to their mode	6

Scoring Reviewer Rubric – Oakland County Learning Loss and Social Emotional Learning Supports

1	Program Information	Conflict of Interest Policy	Organization does not have a conflict-of-interest policy in place	Organization has a conflict-of-interest policy, but has not provided documentation	Organization has a conflict-of-interest policy and has provided documentation with their application	3
2	Program Information	Outcomes & Impact	Outcomes offer little or basic details and do not offer a pathway to impact (not feasible, unclear timelines, etc)	Program has clear outcomes. Outcomes are feasible and it align with impact. Work is within the scope of the organizations mission and vision.	Program has clear outcomes and sufficient details of the programmatic work. It is clear the proposal will create the ultimate impact the organization is trying to make. Outcomes are SMART and will make a substantial contribution to creating thriving children in Southeastern Michigan within the confines of the grant period and the dollars requested.	6
Program Information Total Points Possible						36
3	Demographics and Service Scope	Organizational Reach and Presence in Oakland County	The proposed program/services have a limited geographical reach in areas served within Oakland County and has provided services in Oakland County for less than 2-years.  Geographical reach is in alignment with the program intentions	The proposed program/services have a moderate geographical reach in areas served within Oakland County and has provided services in Oakland County between 2-5 years.	The proposed program/services have an expansive geographical reach in areas served within Oakland County and has provided services in Oakland County for more than 5-years;  Community Tier Funding should be fully covering a single municipality or serving youth in multiple municipalities	9
2	Demographics and Service Scope	Service Scope	Number of individuals served has limited alignment with the requested funding amount and program/services provided.	Number of individuals served has sufficient alignment with the requested funding amount and program/services provided.	Number of individuals served has exceptional alignment with the requested funding amount and program/services provided and provides a clear articulation of how the organization targets and serves a vulnerable population.	6

Scoring Reviewer Rubric – Oakland County Learning Loss and Social Emotional Learning Supports

			Community Grant Applicant serving less than 250 students			
2	Demographics and Service Scope	Diversity and Demographics		Applicant has indicated that they track some but not all demographic data in the application regarding these services.	Applicant has indicated that they track all demographic data in application regarding these services.	6
Demographics and Service Scope Total Points Possible						21
3	Budget  (ALL)	Budget Integrity	Organization budget is somewhat consistent with the award type and operational plan for the program/services identified and demonstrates limited transparency.	Organization budget is consistent with the award type and operational plan for the program/services identified and demonstrates some transparency.	Organization budget is consistent with the award type and operational plan for the program/services identified and demonstrates strong transparency.	9
Budget Total Points Possible						9
3	Full Application Review	Overall Application Integrity	Reviewer does not recommend funding this application.	Recommend this application although parts of application were unclear or need further explanation.	Highly recommend this application for funding. Application was clear and aligns with the funding priorities for this opportunity	9
Full Application Review Total Points Possible						9
Total Points Possible						90