

Scoring Rubric

Weight	Application section (Associated Question Numbers)	Scoring Category	[1] Does not satisfy requirements	[2] Satisfies some requirements	[3] Satisfies all requirements	Total Points Available for Section
1	Award Type Selection	Award Type Justification	Does not meet the base eligibility requirements	Meets some of the base eligibility requirements and provides a sufficient rationale for selection	Meets all the base eligibility requirements and provides a detailed and clear rationale for selection	3
3	Program Information (1-5)	Program/Service Information and Operational Details	Unconvincing or no evidence of need presented, description of the program/services unclear and/or does not fit into the award type selected or the provision of mental/behavioral health services. The impact of program/services is unclear or undefined.	Rationale or description of program/services tends toward the too-specific or too-general, but overall argument holds. The program/services impact minimal community members in a superficial way.	Strong rationale and description of proposed services. Addresses specific need(s) in Oakland County and provides a clear articulation of program/services and plan to use the funds. The program/services impact a variety of community members that are appropriate to the scope of the project.	9
3	Program Information (6,7)	Evidence-Based Programming (EBP)	Applicant has not indicated that they are employing Evidence-Based Programming in their proposed delivery of services.	Applicant has indicated that they are employing Evidence-Based Programming in their proposed delivery of services, but they are light on details OR have failed to list specific interventions	Applicant has provided a robust description and list of the Evidence-Based Programming that they plan to employ in their proposed delivery of services.	9
2	Program Information (8-10)	Training, Monitoring, and Modification of Evidence-based programming	Organization has provided a limited or inadequate description of how staff are trained, how modifications to the model are made, or how the monitor the	Organization has provided adequate description(s) of how the fidelity of their model is monitored and staff are trained to implement evidence-based programming but could be more descriptive as to how those	Organization has provided a detailed description of their training protocols and the reviewer has a clear understanding of how training is carried out and/or certified. Organization also explains	6

			fidelity of evidence-based programming.	activities are carried out and/or certified.	how they monitor and make modifications to their model	
1	Program Information (14,15)	COVID-19 Adaptation Plan	Unconvincing or no evidence of reasonable plan to adapt program/services to COVID-19.	Rationale or description of plan to adapt program/services to COVID-19 tends toward the too-specific or too-general, but overall holds.	Strong rationale and description of plan to adapt program/services to COVID-19.	3
1	Program Information (16)	Conflict of interest policy	Organization does not have a conflict-of-interest policy in place	Organization has a conflict-of-interest policy, but has not provided documentation	Organization has a conflict-of-interest policy and has provided documentation with their application	3
3	Demographics and Service Scope (7,8)	Organizational Reach and Presence in Oakland County	The proposed program/services have a limited geographical reach in Oakland County and has provided services in Oakland County for less than 2-years.	The proposed program/services have a moderate geographical reach in Oakland County and has provided services in Oakland County between 2-5 years.	The proposed program/services have an expansive geographical reach in Oakland County and has provided services in Oakland County for more than 5-years.	9
2	Demographics and Service Scope (10,11)	Service Scope	Number of individuals served has limited alignment with the requested funding amount and program/services provided.	Number of individuals served has sufficient alignment with the requested funding amount and program/services provided.	Number of individuals served has exceptional alignment with the requested funding amount and program/services provided and provides a clear articulation of how the organization targets and serves a vulnerable population.	6
2	Demographics and Service Scope (12-15)	Diversity and Demographics	Applicant has indicated that they do not track any demographic data regarding these services.	Applicant has indicated that they track some but not all demographic data in the application regarding these services.	Applicant has indicated that they track all demographic data in application regarding these services.	6
3	Budget (All)	Budget Integrity	Organization budget is somewhat consistent with the award type and operational plan for the program/services identified	Organization budget is consistent with the award type and operational plan for the program/services identified and	Organization budget is consistent with the award type and operational plan for the program/services	9

			and demonstrates limited transparency.	demonstrates some transparency.	identified and demonstrates strong transparency.	
3	Full Application Review	Overall Application Integrity	Reviewer does not recommend funding this application.	Recommend this application although parts of application were unclear or need further explanation.	Highly recommend this application for funding. Application was clear and aligns with the funding priorities for this opportunity.	9
						72